RUTGERS

Ernest Mario School of Pharmacy

Kristy Huang, PharmD Candidate 2020¹, Riya Patel, PharmD Candidate 2020¹, Michael Casias, PharmD, BCIDP, AAHIVP^{1,2}, Rani P. Madduri, PharmD, BCPS, AAHIVP^{2,}, Ashmi A. Philips, PharmD, AAHIVP^{1,2} ¹Ernest Mario School of Pharmacy, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey ²Hunterdon Medical Center

Background

- > An adverse drug reaction (ADR) is a harmful and unintended response to a drug that occurs at doses appropriately used for diagnosis, prophylaxis, or treatment of a disease or condition
- > Comprehensive documentation and reporting of ADRs at organized health care systems are integral in identifying and minimizing medication safety issues
- > However, ADR reporting may be underestimated due to lack of recognition, attitude towards reporting among health care practitioners, and knowledge of and access to reporting systems
- > One method to identify ADRs is through the utilization of trigger agents which are medications given to treat suspected ADRs

Objective

- > Identify the prevalence of ADRs through the evaluation of trigger agent utilization
- > Determine areas of improvement in ADR reporting and documentation

Method

- > An IRB-approved retrospective chart review of patients with identified ADRs through the evaluation of trigger agent usage
- \succ Evaluated trigger agents included: diphenhydramine, dextrose, hydrocortisone, naloxone, flumazenil, 4-factor prothrombin complex concentrate (4F-PCC), and vitamin K
- ➢ Inclusion criteria:
 - 18 years of age or older
 - Received at least one dose of a trigger agent to treat an ADR from April 1, 2019 to June 30, 2019
 - Admitted to the following units: general medicine, intensive care unit, cardiac care unit, or emergency department
- ➢ Exclusion criteria:
 - Admitted to the following units: pediatric, maternity, or behavioral health
- Primary outcome:
 - Prevalence of ADRs
- > Secondary outcomes
 - Common medications implicated in causing ADRs
 - Percentage of reported and documented ADRs

Improving adverse drug reaction documentation: the analysis of the prevalence of trigger agent usage (I-ADAPT)

Results



1		

Table 2: rigger Agents	No. of Triggers Found n=697	No. of ADRs n=95	Positive Predictive Value
iphenhydramine	557	39	0.07
Dextrose	84	35	0.41
Naloxone	14	8	0.57
Vitamin K	9	7	0.78
4F-PCC	11	5	0.46
Hydrocortisone (topical)	19	1	0.05
Flumazenil	3	0	0
able 3: Assessn	nent	No. of AI	ORs

of ADRs	
ranjo Nomogram	

Definite
Probable
Possible
Doubtful

Mild	0/95 (0)
Moderate	72/95 (75.8)
Severe	23/95 (24.2)

Results (continued) Figure 3: Prevalence of ADRs Identified Through Trigger Agent Usage Diphenhydramine Dextrose 13.6% Naloxone Vitamin K ■ 4F-PCC Hydrocortisone Identified ADRs Limitations ➤ Use of trigger agents alone does not capture all the ADRs that occur in a given time period > The list of trigger agents that was used to detect ADRs was limited \succ Assessment of relationship of trigger agent usage to ADR was

- prevalence

- methods

All authors have no conflicts of interest and no financial interests to disclose. Kristy Huang, PharmD Candidate 2020: kyh19@scarletmail.rutgers.edu Ashmi A. Philips, PharmD, AAHIVP: aphilips@hhsnj.org References available upon request



KNIGHTSCHOLARx

restricted to the information provided on the patient's medical charts

Conclusions

 \succ Analysis of trigger agent usage was valuable in identifying ADR

> Trigger agent usage detected many ADRs that were not voluntarily reported or documented

 \succ Regular reporting of ADRs through the electronic reporting system is essential to obtain accurate data and identify trends

 \succ An opportunity to improve ADR reporting exists to standardize documentation practices and to resolve disparities in current reporting

Author Contact Information